



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Adress: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,287	07/18/2003	Rahul Saxena	PI16855	4600
50890	7590	11/28/2008		
CAVEN & AGHEVLI			EXAMINER	
c/o INTELLEVATE, LLC			HUSSAIN, TAUQIR	
P.O. BOX 52050			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			2452	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		11/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/622,287	Applicant(s) SAXENA, RAHUL
	Examiner TAUQIR HUSSAIN	Art Unit 2452

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
 - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 September 2008.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5,7-19,21 and 23-33 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-2, 4-5, 7-19, 21 and 23-33 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This office action is in response to amendment /reconsideration filed on 09/30/2008, the amendment/reconsideration has been considered. Claims 1-2, 10, 19 and 28 have been amended, claims 31-33 have been newly added. Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-19, 21 and 23-33 are pending for examination, the rejection cited as stated below.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7-19, 21 and 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viswanath et al. (Patent Number: 6151322), hereinafter "Viswanath" in view of Brukert et al (Patent No.: US 4916704 A), hereinafter "Brukert"
and further in view of Doubler et al. (Pub. No.: US 2004/0025105 A1), hereinafter "Doubler".

5. As to claim 1, Viswanath discloses, receiving electronic data from a first port of the data networking device (Viswanath, Abstract, lines 1-2);
discarding at least a portion of the electronic data prior to providing the electronic data to a memory of the networking device Abstract, lines 3-10, where striping the tag

means discard the portion of the electronic data and Col.7, lines 10-13, where VLAN tag is extracted means discarded and only VLAN ID is stored with frame in a memory);

providing at least a portion of the electronic data to a second port (Viswanath, Abstract, lines 16-20, where transmitting port is the second port).

generating a code and inserting the code into the frame prior to providing to the memory (Viswanath, Fig.4, Elements-84 and 64, Col.7, lines 21-39, where tagging can be interpret as coding and modifying means adding or deleting or inserting the appropriate information into frame and sending it to memory 64).

Viswanath however is silent on disclosing generating CRC and inserting CRC into a frame or checking the CRC prior to providing to the second port.

Brukert however discloses, generating CRC and inserting CRC into a frame (Brukert, Col.21, lines 20-28, where CRC generator generates the CRC and checks the same CRC code that is used by I/O device before further processing of sending it to network port or disk interface which can also be interpret as memory),

Brukert discloses the similar idea Checking the error prior to providing to the second port (Brukert, Fig.7, Col.9, lines 60-62, where data is sent from memory buffer to check/correct circuit 735 or error check)

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Viswanath with the CRC concept disclosed in Brukert in order to provide a provide a fault tolerant computer method and system having duplicate computer systems which normally operate

Art Unit: 2452

simultaneously. The duplication insures that there is no single point of failure and an error or fault in one of the systems will not disable the overall computer system.

Viswanath and Brukert however are silent on disclosing explicitly, Checking the error prior to providing to the second port.

Doubler however discloses, checking the error prior to providing to the second port (Fig.3, [0003], where CRC processes the data before transmitting across the network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Viswanath and Brukert with the teachings of Doubler in order to provide a system including a CRC calculation system for the packet arriving on an n-byte wide bus, the CRC calculation system embodied in the transmitter to provide a transmission CRC value for transmission of the packet, or embodied in the receiver to provide a received CRC value for validation of the packet.

6. As to claim 10, Viswanath discloses, one or more receive ports capable of receiving electronic data from a network (Viswanath Col.7, liens 10-11, where switch receiving packets on one of the ports);

one or more transmit ports capable of transmitting electronic data to a network (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 42-44);

a memory (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 12-13; and

a processor, the processor configured to, in operation (Viswanath, Fig.3, Element-70):

discard at least a portion of the electronic data received by the one or more receive ports (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 10-13, where VLAN tag is extracted means discarded and only VLAN ID is stored with frame in a memory);

provide the remaining electronic data to the memory (Viswanath, Col.7, line 13);

read the electronic data from the memory (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 17-18);

modify the electronic data after reading from the memory (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 32-33); and

provide at least a portion of the electronic data to one or more of the transmit ports (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 42-44).

wherein the electronic data is to comprise a frame and wherein the processor is to cause generation of a code and insertion of the code into the frame prior to storage in the memory (Viswanath, Fig.4, Elements-84 and 64, Col.7, lines 21-39, where tagging can be interpret as coding and modifying means adding or deleting or inserting the appropriate information into frame and sending it to memory 64).

Viswanath however is silent on disclosing explicitly, "generation of a CRC (Cyclic redundancy code) and insertion of the CRC into the frame".

Bruckert however discloses, generating CRC and inserting CRC into a frame (Col.21, lines 20-28, where CRC generator generates the CRC and checks the same CRC code that is used by I/O device before further processing of sending it to network port or disk interface which can also be interpret as memory).

Viswanath and Bruckert however are silent on disclosing explicitly, Checking the error prior to providing to the second port.

Doubler however discloses, checking the error prior to providing to the second port (Fig.3, [0003], where CRC processes the data before transmitting across the network).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Viswanath and Brukert with the teachings of Doubler in order to provide a system including a CRC calculation system for the packet arriving on an n-byte wide bus, the CRC calculation system embodied in the transmitter to provide a transmission CRC value for transmission of the packet, or embodied in the receiver to provide a received CRC value for validation of the packet.

7. Claim 19, carry similar limitation as claim 1 and 10 above and therefore, is rejected under for same rationale.

8. As to claim 2, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1 above, including, modifying the electronic data prior to said providing to the second port (Doubler, [0011], where transmission CRC value is added before transmitting across to a network).

9. As to claims 4 and 14, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claims 1 and 10 above, including, wherein the portion of electronic data deleted comprises a VLAN (virtual local area network) tag (Viswanath, Abstract, line 3).

10. As to claim 5, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1 above, including, wherein modifying comprises inserting a VLAN tag to the frame (Viswanath, Abstract, lines 14-16).
11. As to claim 7, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses, providing a portion of the electronic data to a control module prior to deleting a portion of the electronic data (Viswanath, Fig.4, Elements-84 and 64, Col.7, lines 17-21, where comparator 84 is control module, lines 32-33, where data is modified means deleting or adding header information and lines 37-39, where data is transferred to element-64, which is memory).
12. As to claim 8, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 7 above, including, wherein the portion of data provided to the control module comprises the protocol header (Viswanath, Fig.1a and 1b, Col.3, lines 31-33, Inherently protocol header is there, e.g. VLAN type, source address, destination address etc.).
13. As to claim 9, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses wherein the first port and the second port comprise a receive port and a transmit port, respectively (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 10, where receiving port could be first port and lines 42-44, where output port is transmit port).
14. As to claim 11, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 10 above, including, wherein the processor is further configured to modify the electronic data prior to providing at least a portion of the

electronic data to one or more of the transmit ports (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 42-44, where output port is transmit port and VLAN insertion means the data has been modified).

15. As to claim 12, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 1 above, including, wherein the apparatus comprises a network switch (Viswanath, Fig.2, Col.7, lines 10-11, where network switch means apparatus).

16. As to claim 13, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 12 above, including, wherein said memory comprises network switch internal memory (Viswanath, Fig.4, Element-64 and 80).

17. As to claim 15, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 11 above, including, wherein modifying the electronic data comprises inserting a VLAN tag, wherein the VLAN tag relates at least in part to the destination address of the electronic data (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 42-44, where VLAN tag is inserted as a destination address).

18. As to claim 16, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 10 above, including, wherein the processor comprises a network processor (Viswanath, Fig.3b, Element-70, Col.6, lines 25-26, where network switch has decision making engine which is processor and since switch is a network device therefore, processor is a network processor).

19. As to claim 17, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 10 above, including, wherein the memory comprises a plurality of memory devices (Viswanath, Fig.3b, and Elements-32, 64 and 66, Col.5, lines 50-51 and 56).

20. As to claim 18, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 17 above, including, wherein the plurality of memory devices comprise one or more of:

random access memory (Viswanath, Col.7, line 62) and
synchronous dynamic random access memory (Viswanath, Col.5, lines 7-9).

21. Claims 21, 23-26 are rejected for the same reasons as applied above to claims 5, 4, 6 and 15-18 respectively.

22. As to claim 27, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claim 19 above, including, wherein said processor is configured to modify said electronic data only if said second port is configured to recognize tags (Viswanath, Col.7, lines 10-13, where processor processes the tagged packets and lines 42-45, transmitted to VLAN ports which means there are output ports configured to handle tagged packets).

23. As to claim 28, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses disclose the invention substantially as in parent claim 1 above, including, further comprising, the processor is to generate a CRC of a non-discarded portion of the electronic data (Viswanath, Fig.4

Col.7, lines 10-29, where obviously destination tag is generated for the frame and not for the striped tag).

24. Claims 29-30 has same limitations as of claim 28 and therefore, are rejected for same rationale as applied to claim 28 above.

25. As to claim 30-33, Viswanath, Brukert and Doubler discloses the invention substantially as in parent claims 1, 10 and 19, wherein the memory is internal to the network device (Brukert, Fig.18, element-1830 which has temporary storage registers 1832).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TAUQIR HUSSAIN whose telephone number is (571)270-1247. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on 571 272 3964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)? If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/T. H. /
Examiner, Art Unit 2452

/Kenny S Lin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2452